
Applicants believe that no further fees are due and payable at this time. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due, including extension fees, or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2319 (Order No. 467766-00087 [A-69227/MAK]).

09/663069

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

By Michael A. Kaufman
Michael A. KAUFMAN
Reg. No. 32,998
Filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.34(a)

Four Embarcadero Center - Suite 3400
San Francisco, California 94111-4187
Tel.: (415) 781-1989
Fax: (415) 398-3249

OFFICIAL**FAX RECEIVED**

AUG 13 2003

GROUP 3600

[467766-00087]

#9 Elec
8/13/03

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Anandakumar VARATHARAJAH

Serial No.: 09/663,069

Filing Date: 15 September 2000

For: **Electronic Shopping Service**

Examiner: Sabrina A. CHANG

Art Unit: 3625

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

2 pages total

I hereby certify that this correspondence, including listed enclosures (if any), is being transmitted via facsimile to fax number (703) 305-7687 on 12 August 2003.

Signature: 
Todd V. LEONE

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND
REQUEST THAT RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT BE WITHDRAWN**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 14 July 2003, applicants submit that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn given that the invention defined by claims 1-8 is classified in class 705, subclass 26, and that the invention defined by claims 9-13 is also classified in class 705, subclass 26.

As such, it would be no burden for the Examiner to search all claims, given that the prior art to be searched would still be found in the same class 705, same subclass 26.

If the Examiner declines to permit applicants to traverse the restriction requirement, then applicants would elect the invention II, defined by claims 9-13, and would cancel non-elected claims 1-8, so-called invention I.

Again, however, applicants submit that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn, in view of the same class, same subclass for all of the pending claims.

**OFFICIAL
FAX RECEIVED**

AUG 13 2003

GROUP 3600